

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/731,264	12/09/2003	Gregory H. Loibl	6011.005.200	4708
	75	90 10/04/2006		EXAMINER	
Levisohn, Berger & Langsam LLP		ger & Langsam LLP		EARLY, MICHAEL JACOBY	
	19th Floor				
	805 Third Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	New York NY	10022		3744	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notice of Abandanment	10/731,264	LOIBL ET AL.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael J. Early	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	·	——————————————————————————————————————				
This application is abandoned in view of:		•				
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>26 January 2005</u>.</li> <li>(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> <li>(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.</li> </ol>						
		·				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
b) 🔲 The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n	(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) $\square$ No corrected drawings have been received.		•				
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by th the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of				
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	n attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.						
7. 🖾 The reason(s) below:						
A Final Rejection (filed on 1/23/05) was sent to Mr. Barry E. Negrin. A corresponding Extension of Time and Notice of Appeal were filed on 5/26/05. In addition, another Extension of Time was filed on 10/25/05. Since the received correspondence on 10/25/05, no further correspondence has been received. Contacted Mr. Negrin on 9/21/06 to confirm that the application has been abandoned. Mr. Negrin verbally confirmed that the application is abandoned.						
SUPERVIS	CHERYL TYLER SORY PATENT EXAMINER	Michael J. Early Patent Examiner Art Unit 3744  Michael J. Early 9/21/06				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdr minimize any negative effects on patent term		CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to				